Anaesthesia Section

Use of Dexmedetomidine in Patients Undergoing Craniotomies

NALINI JADHAV¹, NILESH WASEKAR², VINAYAK WAGASKAR³, BHARATI KONDWILKAR⁴, RAJESH PATIL⁵

ABSTRACT

Introduction: The neuroanaesthesia ensures stable perioperative cerebral haemodynamics, avoids sudden rise in intracranial pressure and prevents acute brain swelling. The clinical characteristics of dexmeditomidine make this intravenous agent a potentially attractive adjunct for neuroanaesthesia and in the neurological intensive care unit.

Aim: This study aimed to assess the effect of dexmedetomidine on intraoperative haemodynamic stability and to assess the intraoperative requirements of analgesic and other anaesthetic agents, and also to assess postoperative sedation, respiratory depression and any other side effects of dexmedetomidine as compared to placebo.

Materials and Methods: This prospective randomized study was done in 60 patients of either sex, age between 18 to 60 years and American Society of Anaesthesiologist (ASA) Grade I and II undergoing elective craniotomies under General Anaesthesia (GA) for intracranial Space Occupying Lesion (SOL). These 60 patients underwent thorough history, clinical examination and laboratory investigations. They were randomly divided into two

groups, Group D (received Inj. Dexmedetomidine) and Group P (received Inj. Placebo). During bolus and infusion Heart Rate (HR), Systolic Blood Pressure (SBP), Diastolic Blood Pressure (DBP), Mean Arterial Pressure (MAP), Peripheral oxygen saturation (SPO₂) was recorded at every five minutes interval for first 20 minute.

Results: The mean age in Group D was 39.5 years and in Group P was 40 years. The sex distribution in two groups was in Group D, 12 patients (40%) were females and 18 (60%) patients were males. While in Group P 10 (33.3%) were females and 20 (66.7%) patients were males. The two groups were comparable with respect to diagnosis and type of surgery of patients and difference was not statistically significant. The mean HR, the mean DBP and the mean MAP was lower in Group D as compared to Group P and the difference was statistically significant.

Conclusion: Dexmedetomidine provided intraoperative haemodynamic stability. It attenuates the haemodynamic responses to laryngoscopy, intubation, at pin fixation and the emergence from anaesthesia.

INTRODUCTION

The goals of neuroanaesthesia are to ensure stable perioperative cerebral haemodynamics and avoid sudden rise in intracranial pressure to prevent acute brain swelling [1]. The intense surgical stimuli associated with craniotomy frequently cause sympathetic activation which results in marked changes in systemic arterial pressure and Cerebral Blood Flow (CBF). These cerebrovascular responses may result in elevated Intracranial Pressure (ICP) and reduction in cerebral perfusion pressure which can lead to cerebral ischemia, especially in patients with impaired auto regulation and compromised cerebral compliance. Thus, the prevention and control of the haemodynamic response to nociceptive stimuli are of utmost importance to preserve stable cerebral homeostasis which is also important for neurosurgical patients for rapid and smooth recovery from anaesthesia which is often preferred to allow immediate neurological evaluation [1]. The haemodynamic stability may become more challenging in hypertensive patients undergoing neurosurgical procedures.

There are several drugs which have been used to maintain cerebral haemodynamics such as opioids, propofol, α -2 agonists and beta blockers. Opioid analgesic prevents haemodynamic responses intraoperatively but if given in excess may result in respiratory depression with carbon dioxide retention, with subsequent increase in the intracranial pressure during recovery. The α -2 agonists like clonidine blunt hypertensive response to intubation or during pin head-holder application, but may cause hypotension especially in elderly.

There are several concerns which must be addressed when a new drug is introduced into neuroanaesthesia practice. Principal con-

Keywords: Analgesia, Haemodynamic stability, Neuroanesthesia

siderations include the ability of a drug to allow a haemodynamically stable perioperative course and preservation of intracranial homeostasis, to be compatible with neurophysiological monitoring and to ensure rapid emergence to a level of consciousness permitting neurological assessment in the operating room. Furthermore, cerebral blood volume reduction, an optimization of the cerebral oxygen supply and demand relationship and neuroprotection add to these considerations [2].

Dexmedetomidine is a highly selective α -2 adrenoreceptor agonist recently introduced to anaesthesia practice [3,4]. It produces dosedependent sedation, anxiolysis and analgesia (involving spinal and supraspinal sites) without respiratory depression. Dexmedetomidine has shown analgesic effects without significant respiratory depression. It provides good intraoperative haemodynamic stability with decreased intraoperative opioid requirements and other beneficial effects in terms of neural protection as suggested by animal studies.

This study aimed to assess effect of dexmedetomidine on intraoperative haemodynamic stability and to assess the intraoperative requirements of analgesic and other anaesthetic agents and also to assess postoperative sedation, respiratory depression and any other side effects of dexmedetomidine as compared to placebo.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

After institutional ethical committee approval, this prospective randomized study was done in 60 patients of either sex, age between 18 to 60 years and ASA Grade I and II undergoing elective craniotomies under GA for intracranial SOL from March 2010 to March 2013.

Variables		Grou	up D			Gro	up P	Mann-Whitney Test			
Variables	Mean	SD (±)	Median	IQR	Mean	SD (±)	Median	IQR	z-value	p-value	Difference is-
Age (yrs) ^	38.87	16.53	39.50	36.25	41.57	13.3	40.00	24.25	-0.602	0.547	Not significant
Weight (Kg) ^	53.87	7.58	52.0	11.25	56.70	6.58	57.50	10.75	-1.606	0.108	Not significant
Duration of surgery (min) ^	290.0	23.08	300	15.00	293.5	21.46	300.0	15.00	-0.091	0.927	Not significant

[Table/Fig-1]: Comparison of age, weight and duration of surgery in Group D and Group P

^ Mann-Whitney test applied as data failed 'Normality' test

	Gro		oup			Total						
Param- eter	Gro	oup D	Gro	up P	iotai		Iotal		Chi-square test (p-value)			
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	(1-1-1-)					
Sex												
Female	12	40.0	10	33.3	22	36.7	0 E00 (Net significant)					
Male	18	60.0	20	66.7	38	63.3	0.592 (Not significant)					
ASA Grad	ASA Grade											
I	20	66.7	21	70.0	41	68.3	0.701/Net significant)					
П	10	33.3	9	30.0	19	31.7	0.781(Not significant)					
[Table/Fig	-2]: C	omparisc	on of se	x and A	SA Gra	de in Group I	D and Group P.					

		Gro	oup			Tabal	Chi-	
Diagnosis	G	roup D	Gi	oup P		Total	square tests	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	(p-value)	
Frontal Sol	3	10.0%	3	10.0%	6	10.0%		
Sphenoidal Meningioma	5	16.7%	1	3.3%	6	10.0%		
Craniopharyngioma	5	16.7%	1	3.3%	6	10.0%		
Parietal Sol	1	3.3%	4	13.3%	5	8.3%		
Corpus Callosal Glioma ^	0	0.0%	4	13.3%	4	6.7%		
Frontal Glioma ^	3	10.0%	0	0.0%	3	5.0%		
Frontoparietal Sol ^	2	6.7%	1	3.3%	3	5.0%		
Frontotemporal Sol ^	0	0.0%	1	3.3%	1	1.7%		
Insular Glioma ^	1	3.3%	0	0.0%	1	1.7%		
Parasagital Meningioma ^	1	3.3%	0	0.0%	1	1.7%	0.288	
Parietal Meningioma ^	1	3.3%	0	0.0%	1	1.7%	Not	
Pituitary Adenoma ^	0	0.0%	1	3.3%	1	1.7%	Significant	
Suprasellar Cystic Tumour ^	0	0.0%	2	6.7%	2	3.3%		
Suprasellar Epidermoid ^	0	0.0%	1	3.3%	1	1.7%		
Temporal Glioma ^	0	0.0%	4	13.3%	4	6.7%		
Temporal Meningioma ^	0	0.0%	1	3.3%	1	1.7%		
Temporal Sol ^	3	10.0%	0	0.0%	3	5.0%		
Temporoparietal Glioma ^	4	13.3%	0	0.0%	4	6.7%		
Temporoparietal Sol ^	0	0.0%	4	13.3%	4	6.7%		
Thalamic Glioma ^	0	0.0%	2	6.7%	2	3.3%		
Thalamic Sol ^	1	3.3%	0	0.0%	1	1.7%		
Total	30	100.0%	30	100.0%	60	100.0%		
[Table/Fig-3]: Comparison of	of dia	gnosis in (Group	D and G	roup	P.		

^ Mann-Whitney test applied as data failed 'Normality' test

Inclusion Criteria: All patients with age between 18 to 60 years, Glasgow coma scale 15, patients given consent for study drug administration and surgery and patients with no history of any drug or substance allergy were included in the study.

Exclusion Criteria: Patients on anti-hypertensive medication with alpha methyldopa, clonidine or other α -2 adrenergic agonists, patients with preoperative heart rate less than 45 or any heart block, pregnant women, patients with morbid obesity and patients with history of any drug or substance allergy were excluded from the study.

All the 60 patients were evaluated with detail history, general and systemic examination, airway examination and laboratory investigations such as complete blood count, liver function tests, renal function tests, random blood sugar, serum electrolytes, chest radiograph, ECG, HIV, HBsAg, HCV. Then after written informed consent they were randomly divided into two groups by simple random sampling method.

1) Group D received: Inj. Dexmedetomidine (Bolus + infusion).

2) Group P received: Inj. Placebo (Bolus + infusion).

On arrival in the operation theatre patient's identity and Nil by Mouth (NBM) status, consent was confirmed. Standard intraoperative monitoring of HR, SBP, DBP, MAP and SPO_2 was initiated. Baseline preoperative values of these parameters were recorded.

An 18 G intravenous (IV) catheter was inserted for drug and continuous fluid administration. IV infusion of normal saline was started at the rate of 5 to 10 ml/kg/hr. A left radial artery was cannulated for invasive arterial BP under LA taking all aseptic precautions.

In Group D, patients received IV bolus dose of Dexmedetomidine 1 μ gm/kg which was diluted to make it of 20 cc volume given over 10 minutes followed by IV infusion of 0.5 μ gm/kg/hr through infusion pump till skin closure.

In Group P, patients received bolus dose of IV placebo i.e., normal saline of 20 cc volume over 10 minute followed by IV infusion of normal saline 0.5 ml/kg/hr, through infusion pump till skin closure.

During bolus and infusion HR, SBP, DBP, MAP, SPO₂ was recorded at every five minutes interval for first 20 minutes.

After completion of bolus drugs and 10 minutes after initiation of infusion in both the groups, patients were preoxygenated for 5 minutes and simultaneously premedicated through a separate IV line with

1) Inj. Glycopyrrolate 0.004 mg/kg IV

2) Inj. Ondansetron 0.08 mg/kg IV

3) Inj. Fentanyl 2 µgm/kg IV

Induction was done after three minutes of premedication with propofol in incremental doses till the loss of eye lash reflex then neuromuscular block was achieved with Inj. Rocuronium 1mg/kg. Induction dose of propofol recorded. Patients were ventilated for three minute. Laryngoscopy and intubation was performed with adequate size of armored tube and proper size Ryle's tube was inserted. Throat was packed with wet roller gauge, bite block was inserted, air entry on both sides checked and endotracheal tube was secured properly with adhesive tape.

Anaesthesia was maintained with Nitrous oxide (N₂O) and Oxygen (O₂) (60%+40%), Isoflurane was initiated to achieve end tidal concentration of 0.5% and end tidal isoflurane concentration was monitored throughout the intraoperative period. For muscle relaxation Inj. Rocuronium was started at the rate of 0.5 mg/kg/hr. The intraoperative depth of anaesthesia and analgesia was adjusted as per haemodynamic status.

Monitoring of HR, SBP, DBP, MAP and SPO₂ was done at the following intervals: Preoperative; 5 min after bolus (5 min AB); 10 min after bolus (10 min AB); 5 min after infusion (5 min AI); 10 min after infusion (10 min AI); After premedication (A premed); After induction (AInd); After laryngoscopy (AL); At intubation (AInt); 5 min after intubation; At pin insertion (At PI); Then 15 min interval

		Gro	oup			Total		
Surgery	Grou	up D	Gr	Group P		Iotal	Chi-square test p-value	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%		
Frontoparietal Craniotomy	10	33.3%	11	36.7%	21	35.0%		
Temporoparietal Craniotomy	6	20.0%	6	20.0%	12	20.0%		
Frontal Craniotomy ^	5	16.7%	2	6.7%	7	11.7%		
Pterional Craniotomy ^	1	3.3%	5	16.7%	6	10.0%		
Temporal Craniotomy ^	3	10.0%	1	3.3%	4	6.7%	0.137 Not	
Bifrontal Craniotomy ^	2	6.7%	1	3.3%	3	5.0%	significant	
Subfrontal Craniotomy ^	3	10.0%	0	0.0%	3	5.0%		
Parietal Craniotomy ^	0	0.0%	2	6.7%	2	3.3%		
Parieto-occipital Craniotomy ^	0	0.0%	2	6.7%	2	3.3%		
Total	30	100.0%	30	100.0%	60	100.0%		
[Table/Fig-4]: Comparison of types of surgery	n in Group D and	d Group P.		·	•	·	'	

Heart Rate		Gro	up D			(Group P		Unpaired t-	test applied
(beats/ min)	Mean	SD (±)	Median	IQR	Mean	SD (±)	Median	IQR	t-value	p-value
Preop	82.47	8.74	80.50	10.25	83.47	8.45	80.00	14.50	2.128	0.078
5 min AB	78.23	7.62	78.00	10.50	82.33	9.32	82.00	15.25	-1.866	0.067
10 min AB	73.70	7.76	74.50	10.00	80.67	8.66	81.00	14.25	-3.282	0.00175*
5 min Al ^	69.00	6.37	70.00	6.25	77.30	9.11	77.00	14.50	-3.318	0.00091*
10 min Al^	65.30	6.27	66.50	3.50	76.60	8.40	75.50	13.50	-4.918	8.72E-07*
A Premed	61.83	5.99	61.00	5.50	71.63	8.28	70.00	14.00	-5.254	2.23E-06*
A Indu ^	59.63	6.83	59.00	6.75	70.40	7.94	70.00	12.50	-5.032	4.85E-07*
A Scopy ^	79.80	5.51	80.00	6.00	108.97	3.81	109.00	5.25	-6.669	2.57E-11*
A Intub	80.50	5.13	80.50	6.25	109.10	3.84	110.00	5.25	-24.45	3.08E-32*
5 minAInt ^	67.67	5.16	68.00	6.00	76.03	6.96	75.00	10.50	-4.556	5.22E-06*
At P I	80.00	5.10	80.00	6.25	108.98	3.89	111.00	5.25	-5.033	3.07E-31*
Then every 15	5 min		1				1			
15 min ^	81.70	15.23	77.00	28.00	92.47	23.49	80.00	47.75	-1.739	0.08203
30 min ^	88.70	10.68	89.00	20.00	101.70	19.29	105.00	38.25	-2.415	0.01574*
45 min ^	77.07	11.14	77.50	13.50	81.20	5.80	80.00	10.25	-1.803	0.077
60 min	68.13	5.44	68.50	7.00	78.27	4.53	78.00	6.00	-7.840	1.14E-10*
75 min	66.67	4.82	66.50	8.25	77.97	5.30	77.50	6.25	-8.642	5.20E-12*
90 min	65.33	5.54	66.50	7.50	78.23	6.28	77.50	7.25	-8.437	1.14E-11*
105 min	65.13	5.82	65.00	8.25	77.60	5.23	76.00	7.00	-8.724	3.80E-12*
120 min	65.03	5.99	66.00	6.25	77.73	5.44	78.00	7.75	-8.601	6.07E-12*
135 min ^	64.47	6.21	64.50	8.75	79.70	5.76	80.00	3.25	-6.345	2.23E-10*
150 min	64.57	5.45	64.00	6.75	80.43	3.99	80.00	3.00	-12.86	1.22E-18*
165 min ^	64.13	5.76	64.00	5.50	80.10	4.35	79.50	3.00	-6.593	4.30E-11*
180 min ^	64.17	5.69	64.00	7.25	80.13	4.93	80.00	5.25	-6.410	1.46E-10*
195 min ^	63.87	4.91	64.00	5.75	91.10	15.22	87.50	32.00	-6.614	3.73E-11*
210 min ^	63.90	5.14	64.00	7.00	93.48	14.51	90.00	28.00	-6.591	4.36E-11*
225 min ^	63.80	6.05	64.00	6.25	82.48	12.17	78.00	8.00	-6.262	3.81E-10*
240 min ^	63.70	5.72	63.50	7.25	79.90	3.50	80.00	2.00	-6.580	4.72E-11*
255 min ^	65.38	4.96	65.00	6.00	82.24	6.86	80.00	8.00	-6.327	2.50E-10*
270 min ^	65.28	4.56	65.00	7.00	80.31	3.32	80.00	3.50	-6.299	3.00E-10*
285 min	66.33	4.18	67.00	5.00	79.18	3.01	78.50	3.75	-12.85	1.82E-17*
300 min ^	66.27	4.50	65.50	4.50	78.00	2.20	78.00	2.75	-5.506	3.66E-08*
315 min ^	69.00	7.55	70.00	15.00	79.75	2.36	79.00	4.25	-2.141	0.03231*
At ext^	94.93	4.75	98.00	9.00	108.00	5.56	109.00	9.25	-6.504	7.84E-11*
Then every 30) min for 4 hrs									
30 min ^	71.23	5.20	72.00	6.25	77.93	9.69	78.00	16.50	-2.549	0.0108*

	Gro	up D			(Unpaired t-test applied			
Mean	SD (±)	Median	IQR	Mean	SD (±)	Median	IQR	t-value	p-value
74.67	5.47	76.00	8.00	85.83	12.00	85.50	24.25	-3.480	0.0005*
82.27	8.12	80.50	12.00	84.90	10.31	85.00	18.25	-1.099	0.276
87.37	6.76	90.00	11.00	89.73	8.42	77.50	11.50	-3.665	0.25
80.63	6.46	82.00	8.75	78.80	6.83	76.00	11.25	-1.104	0.27
81.07	5.74	82.00	8.50	79.57	6.25	78.50	10.50	0.968	0.337
81.20	5.43	82.00	6.00	80.00	5.59	79.00	10.00	0.844	0.402
81.53	5.18	82.50	6.25	80.73	5.34	80.00	7.25	0.589	0.558
	74.67 82.27 87.37 80.63 81.07 81.20	Mean SD (±) 74.67 5.47 82.27 8.12 87.37 6.76 80.63 6.46 81.07 5.74 81.20 5.43	74.67 5.47 76.00 82.27 8.12 80.50 87.37 6.76 90.00 80.63 6.46 82.00 81.07 5.74 82.00 81.20 5.43 82.00	Mean SD (±) Median IQR 74.67 5.47 76.00 8.00 82.27 8.12 80.50 12.00 87.37 6.76 90.00 11.00 80.63 6.46 82.00 8.75 81.07 5.74 82.00 8.50 81.20 5.43 82.00 6.00	Mean SD (±) Median IQR Mean 74.67 5.47 76.00 8.00 85.83 82.27 8.12 80.50 12.00 84.90 87.37 6.76 90.00 11.00 89.73 80.63 6.46 82.00 8.75 78.80 81.07 5.74 82.00 8.50 79.57 81.20 5.43 82.00 6.00 80.00	Mean SD (±) Median IQR Mean SD (±) 74.67 5.47 76.00 8.00 85.83 12.00 82.27 8.12 80.50 12.00 84.90 10.31 87.37 6.76 90.00 11.00 89.73 8.42 80.63 6.46 82.00 8.75 78.80 6.83 81.07 5.74 82.00 8.50 79.57 6.25 81.20 5.43 82.00 6.00 80.00 5.59	Mean SD (±) Median IQR Mean SD (±) Median 74.67 5.47 76.00 8.00 85.83 12.00 85.50 82.27 8.12 80.50 12.00 84.90 10.31 85.00 87.37 6.76 90.00 11.00 89.73 8.42 77.50 80.63 6.46 82.00 8.75 78.80 6.83 76.00 81.07 5.74 82.00 8.50 79.57 6.25 78.50 81.20 5.43 82.00 6.00 80.00 5.59 79.00	Mean SD (±) Median IQR Mean SD (±) Median IQR 74.67 5.47 76.00 8.00 85.83 12.00 85.50 24.25 82.27 8.12 80.50 12.00 84.90 10.31 85.00 18.25 87.37 6.76 90.00 11.00 89.73 8.42 77.50 11.50 80.63 6.46 82.00 8.75 78.80 6.83 76.00 11.25 81.07 5.74 82.00 8.50 79.57 6.25 78.50 10.50 81.20 5.43 82.00 6.00 80.00 5.59 79.00 10.00	Mean SD (±) Median IQR Mean SD (±) Median IQR t-value 74.67 5.47 76.00 8.00 85.83 12.00 85.50 24.25 -3.480 82.27 8.12 80.50 12.00 84.90 10.31 85.00 18.25 -1.099 87.37 6.76 90.00 11.00 89.73 8.42 77.50 11.50 -3.665 80.63 6.46 82.00 8.75 78.80 6.83 76.00 11.25 -1.104 81.07 5.74 82.00 8.50 79.57 6.25 78.50 10.50 0.968 81.20 5.43 82.00 6.00 80.00 5.59 79.00 10.00 0.844

[Table/Fig-5]: Comparison of HR at various time intervals between Group D and Group P ^ Mann-Whitney test applied as data failed 'Normality' test, t-value replaced by Z-value *- p-value significant (<0.05)

Systolic BP		Gro	up D			Gro	Unpaire	ed t-test applied		
mmHg)	Mean	SD (±)	Median	IQR	Mean	SD (±)	Median	IQR	t-value	p-value
Preop	122.2	4.61	120.5	7.5	122.63	10.5	120	6.5	-1.116	0.264
5 min AB	122.27	18.42	118	6.25	123.63	9.26	120	7.75	-2.236	0.025*
10 min AB	115	16.62	110.5	9	122.8	9.14	120	8.75	-4.406	1.05E-05*
5 min Al ^	104.47	6.2	103	10	121.9	7.69	119.5	6.25	-6.57	5.02E-11*
10 min Al^	100.2	5.43	100	8.5	121.17	6.05	119.5	6.25	-6.662	2.71E-11*
A Premed	97.63	5.96	97	7.25	118.33	5.58	117	5	-6.595	4.26E-11*
A Indu ^	93.77	6.36	92	6.5	104.4	5.44	104	9.25	-5.606	2.07E-08*
ALaryng ^	130.8	4.11	130.5	6	140.23	3.65	140	4	-9.411	2.80E-13*
A Intub	131.37	5.07	132	9.5	139.5	3.58	140	3.5	-5.444	5.22E-08*
5 minAInt ^	101.1	6.13	100	11	110.4	4.55	110	6.5	-6.677	1.02E-08*
At P I	123.10	5.44	129.00	10.50	140.80	3.53	138.50	4.25	-5.434	2.79E-08*
Then every 15 min										
15 min ^	116.47	20.12	125	39.25	124.2	21.63	109.5	39.25	-2.13	0.033*
30 min \land	123.77	13.45	124	22.5	131.8	22.53	138.5	44.25	-1.221	0.222
15 min \land	108.63	13.48	104	22.25	109.27	4.98	108.5	6	-1.185	0.236
30 min	99.3	6.24	98.5	5.25	107.27	2.46	107	3.25	-5.067	4.04E-07*
75 min	98.23	5.51	97.5	5.5	107.17	2.45	107	4	-5.176	2.27E-07*
90 min	96.7	4.69	95.5	4.25	107.47	3.2	107.5	3.25	-5.969	2.38E-09*
105 min	97	4.64	96	4.25	107.03	2.66	107	2.5	-6.005	1.91E-09*
120 min	95.5	4.11	94	5.25	107.73	2.24	108	3.25	-6.517	7.17E-11*
135 min \land	96.33	4.85	94.5	5	108.5	2.5	109	3.25	-6.177	6.55E-10*
150 min	96.03	4.68	94	4.25	108.7	2.67	108.5	3	-6.366	1.94E-10*
165 min \land	95.2	4.33	94	4.25	109.03	2.7	109	2.25	-6.341	2.29E-10*
180 min \land	95.57	4.07	94	4	109.8	4.07	109	2	-6.335	2.37E-10*
195 min \land	95.67	4.51	94	4.25	121.17	15.56	116	33	-6.352	2.13E-10*
210 min /	95.9	4.25	94	5	125.07	18.13	116	35	-6.441	1.19E-10*
225 min \land	95.97	3.69	95	5	111.48	12.46	107	4	-6.566	5.17E-11*
240 min _^	95.63	4.21	95	5.25	107.72	1.79	108	3	-6.309	2.82E-10*
255 min \land	96.77	3.98	96	4.25	108.97	7.18	108	2.5	-6.116	9.59E-10*
270 min /	96.52	4.67	96	4.5	107.86	2.15	109	3	-5.766	8.11E-09*
285 min	96.58	4.04	96	5.5	106.21	1.75	107	2	-5.656	1.55E-08*
300 min \land	98.09	4.2	98	4.25	106.15	2.11	106	4	-7.74	1.79E-09*
315 min \land	98	4	98	8	107.25	2.06	107	3.75	-4.048	0.01*
At ext ^	119	3.48	130	3.5	129.67	3.88	129	3.25	-0.887	0.03751*

	Grou	up D			Gro	Unpaired t-test applied			
Mean	SD (±)	Median	IQR	Mean	SD (±)	Median	IQR	t-value	p-value
109.27	3.19	109	2	121.63	6.94	119	5	-6.411	1.45E-10*
112.27	4.19	112	5.25	126.4	6.51	128.5	10.25	-6.195	5.85E-10*
117.47	7.44	117	11.25	124.67	6.52	123.5	10.75	-3.643	0.0003*
125.07	4.93	127.5	9.25	122	4.93	121	4	2.411	0.01911*
118.83	4.96	120	5.25	121.23	2.37	121	2	-2.404	0.0162*
117.77	4.9	119	6.25	122.03	2.14	122	2.25	-4.003	6.25E-05*
117.77	4.75	120	7.5	121.37	1.75	121.5	2	-3.477	0.0005*
119.2	4.79	120	4.5	121.63	1.96	121	3	-2.273	0.023*
	109.27 112.27 117.47 125.07 118.83 117.77 117.77	Mean SD (±) 109.27 3.19 112.27 4.19 117.47 7.44 125.07 4.93 118.83 4.96 117.77 4.9 117.77 4.75	109.27 3.19 109 112.27 4.19 112 117.47 7.44 117 125.07 4.93 127.5 118.83 4.96 120 117.77 4.9 119 117.77 4.75 120	Mean SD (±) Median IQR 109.27 3.19 109 2 112.27 4.19 112 5.25 117.47 7.44 117 11.25 125.07 4.93 127.5 9.25 118.83 4.96 120 5.25 117.77 4.9 119 6.25 117.77 4.75 120 7.5	Mean SD (±) Median IQR Mean 109.27 3.19 109 2 121.63 112.27 4.19 112 5.25 126.4 117.47 7.44 117 11.25 124.67 125.07 4.93 127.5 9.25 122 118.83 4.96 120 5.25 121.23 117.77 4.9 119 6.25 122.03 117.77 4.75 120 7.5 121.37	Mean SD (±) Median IQR Mean SD (±) 109.27 3.19 109 2 121.63 6.94 112.27 4.19 112 5.25 126.4 6.51 117.47 7.44 117 11.25 124.67 6.52 125.07 4.93 127.5 9.25 122 4.93 118.83 4.96 120 5.25 121.23 2.37 117.77 4.9 119 6.25 122.03 2.14 117.77 4.75 120 7.5 121.37 1.75	Mean SD (±) Median IQR Mean SD (±) Median 109.27 3.19 109 2 121.63 6.94 119 112.27 4.19 112 5.25 126.4 6.51 128.5 117.47 7.44 117 11.25 124.67 6.52 123.5 125.07 4.93 127.5 9.25 121 4.93 121 118.83 4.96 120 5.25 121.23 2.37 121 117.77 4.9 119 6.25 122.03 2.14 122 117.77 4.75 120 7.5 121.37 1.75 121.5	Mean SD (±) Median IQR Mean SD (±) Median IQR 109.27 3.19 109 2 121.63 6.94 119 5 112.27 4.19 112 5.25 126.4 6.51 128.5 10.25 117.47 7.44 117 11.25 124.67 6.52 123.5 10.75 125.07 4.93 127.5 9.25 122 4.93 121 4 118.83 4.96 120 5.25 121.23 2.37 121 2 117.77 4.9 119 6.25 122.03 2.14 122 2.25 117.77 4.75 120 7.5 121.37 1.75 121.5 2	Mean SD (±) Median IQR Mean SD (±) Median IQR t-value 109.27 3.19 109 2 121.63 6.94 119 5 -6.411 112.27 4.19 112 5.25 126.4 6.51 128.5 10.25 -6.195 117.47 7.44 117 11.25 124.67 6.52 123.5 10.75 -3.643 125.07 4.93 127.5 9.25 122 4.93 121 4 2.411 118.83 4.96 120 5.25 121.23 2.37 121 2 -2.404 117.77 4.9 119 6.25 122.03 2.14 122 2.25 -4.003 117.77 4.75 120 7.5 121.37 1.75 121.5 2 -3.477

A Mann-Whitney test applied as data failed 'Normality' test, t-value replaced by Z-value

*- p-va	lue signi	ficant	(<0.05)	
---------	-----------	--------	---------	--

Diastolic BP		Gro	up D			Gro	up P		Unpair	ed t-test applied
(mmHg)	Mean	SD (±)	Median	IQR	Mean	SD (±)	Median	IQR	t-value	p-value
Preop	81.90	2.12	83.00	4.00	83.00	4.49	83.50	7.25	-1.214	0.230
5 min AB	79.83	7.48	78.50	2.25	83.37	4.17	84.00	6.25	-3.822	0.000*
10 min AB	75.83	8.01	74.00	8.00	83.20	3.65	83.50	6.00	-5.100	3.40E-07*
5 min Al ^	69.43	4.83	68.50	4.25	83.07	3.45	83.00	3.25	-6.440	1.19E-10*
10 min Al^	65.97	5.30	65.50	7.00	82.07	3.44	83.00	4.00	-6.561	5.35E-11*
A Premed	63.30	4.44	62.00	4.50	79.17	3.64	79.50	4.50	-6.521	6.97E-11*
A Indu ^	61.53	3.88	61.00	4.25	68.03	4.26	68.00	4.25	-6.180	6.87E-08*
ALaryng ^	78.23	6.31	80.00	9.50	87.17	1.97	87.00	2.25	-7.401	6.21E-10*
A Intub	79.23	5.61	80.00	7.25	87.60	1.96	87.00	2.25	-6.104	1.04E-09*
5 minAlnt ^	66.13	6.31	67.00	8.00	72.40	4.46	73.00	6.75	-4.441	4.09E-05*
At P I	80.20	5.59	80.50	7.50	86.90	1.98	86.50	2.25	-6.103	1.03E-09*
Then every 15 mir	1	1	I	I	1	1	1	I	I	
15 min \land	75.23	11.58	80.00	22.25	80.13	12.89	76.00	23.75	-1.643	0.10043
30 min \land	80.20	8.27	83.50	9.00	84.53	10.15	87.50	18.00	-1.920	0.0548
45 min \land	69.27	9.97	68.00	20.00	77.47	5.69	79.00	10.00	-3.147	0.00165*
60 min	63.13	7.06	62.00	9.25	77.20	5.62	78.00	7.25	-8.544	7.56E-12*
75 min	60.30	5.80	60.50	7.25	76.90	5.30	76.50	7.00	-11.570	1.06E-16*
90 min	59.77	5.61	60.50	7.00	77.57	5.11	78.00	4.75	-12.845	1.32E-18*
105 min	59.73	5.08	59.00	6.00	77.73	5.38	77.00	7.25	-13.333	2.60E-19*
120 min	59.17	4.31	59.00	8.00	79.00	3.68	79.50	5.25	-19.181	8.67E-27*
135 min ^	58.67	4.57	58.00	8.00	80.03	4.29	80.00	3.25	-18.685	3.22E-26*
150 min	59.43	5.03	59.50	7.50	79.77	2.32	80.00	2.25	-6.674	2.49E-11*
165 min ^	59.50	4.90	59.50	7.50	80.43	2.58	80.00	3.00	-6.670	2.56E-11*
180 min ^	59.33	5.89	60.00	7.50	80.70	3.21	80.00	5.00	-6.652	2.89E-11*
195 min ^	57.33	4.37	58.00	5.00	82.83	5.87	82.50	11.00	-6.664	2.67E-11*
210 min ^	58.07	5.17	58.50	6.50	84.10	5.02	87.00	10.00	-6.604	3.99E-11*
225 min ^	56.73	4.93	57.00	7.00	80.45	4.21	80.00	4.50	-6.610	3.85E-11*
240 min ^	57.37	5.57	58.50	7.00	79.90	2.40	80.00	3.00	-6.613	3.77E-11*
255 min ^	57.50	4.23	58.00	7.00	80.07	3.13	80.00	2.50	-6.375	1.83E-10*
270 min ^	56.76	3.91	57.00	6.50	79.97	2.68	80.00	3.00	-6.307	2.84E-10*
285 min	57.63	4.18	58.50	7.25	79.14	2.46	79.00	3.50	-6.183	6.31E-10*
300 min ^	57.23	4.09	57.50	6.50	78.85	2.37	79.00	3.00	-5.550	2.86E-08*
315 min ^	59.33	1.16	60.00	2.00	79.75	0.50	80.00	0.75	-2.223	0.02622*
At ext ^	84.13	4.02	84.00	5.25	88.20	2.75	88.50	3.25	-4.579	2.52E-05*

Diastolic BP		Grou	up D			Gro	Unpaired t-test applied			
(mmHg)	Mean	SD (±)	Median	IQR	Mean	SD (±)	Median	IQR	t-value	p-value
30 min ^	71.77	3.82	71.00	3.25	82.80	3.12	83.50	5.00	-12.247	1.01E-17*
60 min ^	75.03	5.73	76.00	4.50	83.67	2.41	84.00	2.25	-5.953	2.64E-09*
90 min ^	77.50	7.71	80.00	3.25	84.27	2.29	85.00	3.00	-5.177	2.25E-07*
120 min	81.87	2.89	82.00	3.25	83.60	2.54	84.00	4.50	-2.469	0.017*
150 min^	79.20	5.08	80.00	4.50	83.90	2.66	84.50	5.00	-4.501	6.76E-06*
180 min^	77.20	6.75	80.00	4.25	83.97	3.01	84.50	6.00	-5.011	5.42E-07*
210 min^	77.10	7.48	80.00	2.25	83.63	2.79	84.00	5.25	-4.892	9.98E-07*
240 min^	78.50	8.03	80.00	2.25	84.10	2.44	85.00	4.00	-4.755	1.99E-06*

[Table/Fig-7]: Comparison of DBP at various time intervals between Group D and Grou ^ Mann-Whitney test applied as data failed 'Normality' test, t-value replaced by Z-value

n-va	ue	siar	nificar	nt i	(<().()

MAP	Group D					Gro	up P		Unpaired t-test applied	
	Mean	SD (±)	Median	IQR	Mean	SD (±)	Median	IQR	t-value	p-value
Preop ^	95.33	2.63	95.83	4.42	96.21	5.65	94.33	5.42	-0.045	0.964
5 min AB ^	93.98	10.03	91.83	3.50	96.79	5.12	95.17	7.33	-3.553	0.000382*
10 min AB ^	88.89	10.57	86.33	6.17	96.40	4.73	94.67	7.17	-5.051	4.41E-07*
5 min Al ^	81.11	4.60	80.67	7.00	96.01	4.09	95.67	4.00	-6.610	3.84E-11*
10 min Al ^	77.38	4.88	76.33	6.17	95.10	3.49	94.83	4.50	-6.618	3.64E-11*
A Premed ^	74.74	4.16	74.00	5.33	92.22	3.69	91.83	3.58	-6.654	2.85E-11*
Alnd ^	72.28	4.23	71.67	3.25	80.16	3.84	80.17	5.50	-5.753	8.76E-09*
AL ^	95.76	4.73	96.83	7.42	104.86	1.74	104.67	2.08	-6.465	1.02E-10*
AInt ^	96.61	4.53	97.50	6.17	104.90	1.75	104.83	2.08	-6.413	1.42E-10*
5 min Alnt ^	77.79	5.61	78.00	8.25	85.07	3.50	84.67	5.50	-4.954	7.26E-07*
At P I	96.59	4.50	97.50	6.18	105.00	1.73	105.70	2.07	-6.403	1.43E-09*
Then every 15 m	in	1		1	1		1			
15 min 🔥	88.98	14.15	95.83	26.58	94.82	15.59	86.00	30.00	-1.885	0.059414
30 min 🛛 ^	94.72	9.63	96.83	14.0	100.29	13.88	104.0	26.17	-1.760	0.07834
45 min 🛛 ^	82.39	10.69	79.17	18.0	88.07	4.75	88.50	9.83	-2.448	0.01435*
60 min	75.19	6.02	73.83	7.42	87.22	4.13	87.33	5.50	-9.028	1.19E-12*
75 min	72.94	4.38	72.33	4.50	86.99	3.80	86.83	5.59	-13.28	3.09E-19*
90 min	72.08	4.42	72.33	6.92	87.53	3.96	87.67	4.92	-14.27	1.22E-20*
105 min ^	72.16	4.25	71.17	6.08	87.84	5.27	87.00	4.17	-6.613	3.77E-11*
120 min ^	71.28	3.50	71.00	5.42	88.58	2.69	88.83	3.92	-6.655	2.83E-11*
135 min ^	71.22	3.58	71.00	6.09	89.81	4.38	89.67	2.50	-6.656	2.81E-11*
150 min ^	71.63	4.39	71.67	6.42	89.41	2.11	89.00	2.08	-6.657	2.80E-11*
165 min 🛛 ^	71.40	4.02	71.67	5.66	89.97	2.07	89.67	2.50	-6.658	2.77E-11*
180 min ^	71.41	4.45	71.33	5.84	90.40	2.46	89.83	4.00	-6.655	2.82E-11*
195 min 🛛 ^	70.11	3.61	69.67	5.75	95.61	8.67	93.00	17.83	-6.655	2.83E-11*
210 min ^	70.68	4.18	70.50	6.08	97.76	9.11	94.67	18.33	-6.598	4.17E-11*
225 min 🛛 ^	69.81	3.74	70.00	4.67	90.79	6.69	88.67	2.66	-6.598	4.18E-11*
240 min 🛛 ^	70.12	4.54	70.67	6.42	89.17	1.84	89.00	2.50	-6.599	4.14E-11*
255 min 🛛 ^	70.59	3.52	71.00	4.58	89.70	4.04	88.67	2.17	-6.359	2.03E-10*
270 min ^	70.01	3.26	69.33	5.33	89.26	2.15	89.00	2.17	-6.292	3.14E-10*
285 min 🔥	70.61	3.61	71.00	5.58	88.17	2.06	88.00	3.00	-6.172	6.75E-10*
300 min 🔥	70.85	3.50	70.33	4.42	87.95	2.19	88.67	3.58	-5.542	2.99E-08*
315 min	72.22	1.54	71.33	2.67	88.92	0.96	89.00	1.75	-17.858	1.01E-05*
A ext ^	99.42	3.33	99	5.33	102.02	2.59	101.67	4.08	-2.952	0.00316*

MAP	Group D				Group P				Unpaired t-test applied	
	Mean	SD (±)	Median	IQR	Mean	SD (±)	Median	IQR	t-value	p-value
30 min \land	84.27	2.91	83.67	3.41	95.74	3.71	95.33	3.84	-6.589	4.43E-11*
60 min \land	87.44	4.18	87.83	2.91	97.91	3.29	99.17	4.91	-6.418	1.38E-10*
90 min \land	90.82	6.48	91.33	7.5	97.73	3.02	97.17	4.08	-4.821	1.43E-06*
120 min ^	96.27	3.15	96.67	5.42	96.4	2.67	95.83	2.84	-0.451	0.651659
150 min ^	92.41	4.59	93.33	4.17	96.34	1.99	96.33	2.5	-4.284	1.84E-05*
180 min ^	90.72	5.46	93	4.17	96.66	2.2	97.33	3.17	-5.342	9.21E-08*
210 min ^	90.66	5.83	93.17	3.33	96.21	1.87	96.33	2.83	-5.335	9.57E-08*
240 min ^	92.07	6.52	93.33	1.83	96.61	1.88	97	2.67	-4.567	4.96E-06*
[Table/Fig-8]: Comparison of MAP between Group D and Group P.										

A Mann-Whitney test applied as data failed 'Normality' test, t-value replaced by Z-value

*- p-value significant (<0.05)

intraoperative; At extubation; 30 min. interval postoperatively for 4 hours; The incidents of haemodynamic changes which are outside the predetermined window were recorded.

RESULTS

The demographic data with respect to age, weight, duration of surgery and sex and ASA grade were comparable in both groups as shown in [Table/Fig-1,2] respectively.

The median (range) age in Group D was 39.5 (18-60) years and in Group P was 40 (18-60) years. By applying, Mann-Whitney test, (p =0.547): difference in the age was not significant.

The sex distribution in two groups was: in Group D out of 30 patients, 12 (40%) were females and 18 (60%) were males and in Group P out of 30 patients, 10 (33.3%) were females and 20 (66.7%) were males.

As shown in [Table/Fig-1], the median (range) duration of surgery in Group D was 300 minutes (240–315 min) and in Group P was 300 minutes (195-315 min). By applying, Mann-Whitney test, (p= 0.927): difference between duration of surgery was not significant. Thus, the two groups were comparable with respect to duration of surgery. [Table/Fig-2] shows the comparison of sex and ASA Grade between the groups, which was not significant.

The two groups were comparable with respect to diagnosis of patients as shown in [Table/Fig-3] and the difference was not significant by applying Pearson chi-square test (p=0.288).

Similarly, [Table/Fig-4] shows that the two groups were comparable with respect to type of surgery of patients and difference was not significant by applying Fishers-Exact test (p=0.137). The various haemodynamic parameters recorded were HR, SBP, DBP and MAP. These parameters were recorded preoperatively and then at five minutes interval during bolus (10 minute period) and first 10 minutes of infusion, after premedication, after induction, after laryngoscopy, at intubation, five minutes after intubation and at pin insertion. After that the parameters were recorded at 15 minutes interval during intraoperative period, at extubation and then at 30 minutes interval postoperatively for four hours.

As shown in [Table/Fig-5], at the time of pin insertion mean HR in Group D and in Group P was 80.00±5.10 bpm and 108.98±3.89 bpm, respectively. The mean HR was lower in Group D as compared to Group P and the difference was statistically significant (p-value=3.07E-31). Thus, the sympathetic response at the time of pin insertion was obtunded by dexmedetomidine as compared to placebo. Thus, the difference between the mean HR in Group D and Group P at various events viz., premedication, induction, laryngoscopy, intubation and pin insertion was statistically significant.

[Table/Fig-6] shows that the mean SBP at the time of pin insertion in Group D and in Group P was 123.10 ± 5.44 mmHg and 140.80 ± 3.53

mmHg respectively. The mean SBP was lower in Group D as compared to Group P and the difference was statistically significant (p-value = 2.79E-08). Thus, the sympathetic response at the time of pin insertion was obtunded by dexmedetomidine as compared to placebo. Thus, difference between the mean SBP in Group D and Group P at various events viz., premedication, induction, laryngoscopy, intubation and pin insertion was statistically significant. After extubation the mean SBP was noted at 30 minute intervals for four hours and it was significantly lower in Group D as compare to Group P throughout the postoperative period.

[Table/Fig-7] shows that after laryngoscopy the mean DBP in Group D and in Group P was 78.23 ± 6.31 mmHg and 87.17 ± 1.97 mmHg respectively. The mean DBP was lower in Group D as compared to Group P and the difference was statistically significant (p=6.21E-10). After extubation the mean DBP was noted at 30 minute intervals for four hours and it was significantly lower in Group D as compare to Group P throughout the postoperative period.

[Table/Fig-8] shows that, after five minute of intubation the mean MAP in Group D and in Group P was 77.79 \pm 5.61 mmHg and 85.07 \pm 3.50 mmHg. The mean MAP was lower in Group D as compared to Group P and the difference was statistically significant (p-value = 7.26E- 07). At extubation although there was rise in mean HR, SBP, DBP and MAP in both groups, the difference between the changes in these parameters at extubation was statistically significant. Thus the pressor response at extubation was also attenuated in Group D. On comparing the changes in mean HR, SBP, DBP and MAP between the two groups at various intervals the haemodynamic stability was observed in Group D.

DISCUSSION

Perioperative haemodynamic stability is one of the most important concepts of neuroanaesthesia [1]. During surgery, low arterial pressure predisposes patient to cerebral ischaemia, as auto regulation of the cerebral blood flow is often impaired near tumours and traumatized areas. On the other hand, abrupt rise in arterial pressure may cause cerebral oedema or bleeding in the operating field [3,4]. Haemodynamic stability is also, important for rapid and smooth recovery which is preferred for immediate neurological evaluation [2]. Talke P et al., studied, the haemodynamic and adrenergic effects of perioperative dexmedetomidine infusion after vascular surgery [5]. They found that during emergence from anaesthesia, heart rate was slower with dexmedetomidine (73±11 bpm) than placebo (83±20 bpm) (p=0.006) and the percentage of time the heart rate was within the predetermined haemodynamic limits was more frequent with dexmedetomidine (p<0.05). So, they conclude that dexmedetomidine attenuates increases in heart rate during emergence from anaesthesia. In the present study at the time of extubation the mean HR in Group D and in Group P was 94.93±4.75 bpm and 108±5.56 bpm, respectively. The HR in Group

D was significantly lower as compared to Group P (p-value = 7.8E-11). Thus, observation in present study was in concurrence with above study. Tanskanen PE et al., studied 54 patients undergoing intracranial tumour surgery randomized to receive in a double-blind manner a continuous dexmedetomidine infusion (plasma target concentration 0.2 or 0.4 ng/ml) or placebo, beginning 20 minutes before anaesthesia and continuing until the start of skin closure [1]. They found that, the median percentage of time points when systolic blood pressure was within more or less than 20% of the intraoperative mean was 72, 77 and 85 in placebo, DEX-0.2 and DEX-0.4 groups, respectively (p<0.01), DEX-0.4 groups differed significantly from the other groups. Tachycardiac response to intubation is blunted with DEX (p< 0.01) as well as the hypertensive response to extubation (p< 0.01). The heart rate variability in DEX-0.4 group from placebo (93 vs. 82%, p<0.01) was statistically significant. So, they concluded, dexmedetomidine increased perioperative haemodynamic stability in patients undergoing brain tumour surgery. In the present study unlike above study dexmedetomidine blunted both tachycardia and hypertensive response to intubation and extubation as compared to placebo.

Bakhamees HS et al., studied 80 morbidly obese patients undergoing laparoscopic gastric bypass who were randomly assigned to one of two study groups [6]; Group D (40 patients) received dexmedetomidine (0.8 µg/kg bolus, then as infusion 0.4 µg/kg/ hr) and Group P (40 patients) received normal saline (placebo) in the same volume and rate. dexmedetomidine showed significant decrease of intraoperative and postoperative mean blood pressure, heart rate. They concluded that, dexmedetomidine offers better control of intraoperative and postoperative haemodynamics. As in above study, in the present study there was significant decrease in MAP and HR in Dexmedetomidine group as compared to placebo. dexmedetomidine also offered better control of intraoperative and postoperative haemodynamics. Thus, results of present study are in concurrence with this study.

Bekker A et al., studied the effect of dexmedetomidine on perioperative haemodynamics in patients undergoing craniotomy [3]. In this study, 72 patients scheduled for elective craniotomy were randomly assigned to receive either sevoflurane-opioid or sevoflurane-opioid-dexmedetomidine anaesthesia. They concluded that intraoperative dexmedetomidine infusion was effective for blunting the increases in SBP perioperatively. In the present study dexmedetomidine obtunded the rise in SBP at the time of intubation, laryngoscopy, pin insertion and extubation as compared to placebo. Thus the observations in present study were in concurrence with above study. Keniya VM et al., studied 60 patients scheduled for elective surgery of more than three hours into two groups [7]; one is the control group which received isoflurane-opioid and the other is study group which received isoflurane-opioid-dexmedetomidine anaesthesia. After tracheal intubation, maximal average increase was 8% in systolic and 11% in diastolic blood pressure in dexmedetomidine group, as compared to 40% and 25%, respectively, in the control group. Also, the average increase in heart rate was 7% and 21% in the dexmedetomidine and control groups, respectively. Hence they concluded that dexmedetomidine is effective in attenuating sympathoadrenal response to tracheal intubation. In the present study at the time of intubation the HR, SBP, DBP was significantly lower in dexmedetomidine group as compared to placebo. Thus, dexmedetomidine obtunded the haemodynamic response to intubation. Thus, observations in present study were in concurrence with above study.

Our study is limited by small sample size and lack of comparative data in humans.

CONCLUSION

Dexmedetomidine provided intraoperative haemodynamic stability. It attenuated the haemodynamic responses to laryngoscopy, intubation, at pin fixation and the emergence from anaesthesia. It has significant opioid and anaesthetic sparing effect. There was significantly faster recovery after extubation with dexmedetomidine. There were fewer incidences of hypotension and bradycardia observed with dexmedetomidine.

REFERENCES

- [1] Tanskanen PE, Kyttä JV, Randell TT, Aantaa RE. Dexmedetomidine as an anaesthetic adjuvant in patients undergoing intracranial tumour surgery: A double-blind, randomized and placebo-controlled study. British Journal of Anaesthesiology. 2006;97(5):658-65.
- Bekker A, Sturaitis MK. Dexmedetomidine for neurological surgery. Operative Neurosurgery. 2005;57(1):1-10.
- [3] Bekker A, Sturaitis M, Bloom M, Moric M, Parker E, Babu R, et al. The effect of dexmedetomidine on perioperative haemodynamics in patients undergoing craniotomy. Anaesthesia and Analgesia. 2008;107(4):1340–47.
- [4] Miller RD, Eriksson LI, Fleisher LA, Wiener-Kronish JP, Young WL. Miller's Anaesthesia, Churchill Livingstone Elsevier, 7th edition, 2009, volume 1, Chapter 26 Intravenous Anaesthetics, pp. 751-56.
- [5] Talke P, Chen R, Thomas B, Aggarwall A, Gottlieb A, Thorborg P, et al. The haemodynamic and adrenergic effects of perioperative dexmedetomidine infusion after vascular surgery. Anaesth Analg. 2000;90(4):834-39.
- [6] Bakhamees HS, El-Halafawy YM, El-Kerdawy HM, Gouda NM, Altemyatt S. Effects of dexmedetomidine in morbidly obese patients undergoing laparoscopic gastric bypass. Middle East Journal of Anaesthesiology. 2007;19(3):537-51.
- [7] Keniya VM, Ladi S, Naphade R. Dexmedetomidine attenuates sympathoadrenal response to tracheal intubation and reduces perioperative anaesthetic requirement. Indian Journal of Anaesthesiology. 2011;55(4):352-57.

PARTICULARS OF CONTRIBUTORS:

- 1. Assistant Professor, Department of Anaesthesia, JJ Hospital, Mumbai, Maharashtra, India.
- 2. Assistant Professor, Department of Haematology, KEM Hospital, Mumbai, Maharshtra, India.
- 3. Assistant Professor, Department of Urology, KEM Hospital, Mumbai, Maharshtra, India.
- 4. Professor and Head, Department of Anaesthesia, JJ Hospital, Mumbai, Maharshtra, India.
- 5. Super-Specialty Medical Officer, Department of Haematology, KEM Hospital, Mumbai, Maharshtra, India.

NAME, ADDRESS, E-MAIL ID OF THE CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Dr. Nilesh Wasekar, Department of Anaesthesia, JJ Campus Byculla-400012, Mumbai, Maharashtra, India. E-mail: nileshwasekar@gmail.com

FINANCIAL OR OTHER COMPETING INTERESTS: None.

Date of Submission: Sep 07, 2016 Date of Peer Review: Oct 10, 2016 Date of Acceptance: Nov 03, 2016 Date of Publishing: Jan 01, 2017